

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
			EXAMINER
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
	INTERV	IEW SUMMARY	DATE MAILED:
All participants (applicant, applica	nt's representative, PTO personne	el):	
(1) Benny	Lee (PTO)		
	-1 Kourezos		
<i>U</i> —	Voir 2003	(4)	
1			"l "
Type: Telephonic 🗆 Televide	;		
Exhibit shown or demonstration co	onducted: Yes No If yes,	brief description:	
Agreement was reached.	, ,	1.7	
Claim(s) discussed:	1, 0, 1, 0,	, 1 -	
Identification of prior art discussed	l:		
Description of the general nature of h \(\Lambda \) \(\Lambda \)	الد الحر الد	C 1	. 1
Minor clarific	cation to The s	pecitication in	id claims were
discussed and	specific changes	agreed to see	e accompanying
Examiners amul	nt	<u> </u>	- · · · ·
			er agreed would render the claims allowable able is available, a summary thereof must be
It is not necessary for applic	cant to provide a separate record	of the substance of the intervie	ew.
IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INC	LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THI PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MON'	E INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Se	NREPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ection 713.04). If a reply to the last Office DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM **PTOL-413** (REV. 2-98)

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or

additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312.

To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment

of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with

George Likourezos on 5 November 2003.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the Specification:

Page 9, line 3, --(see Fig. 2)-- has been inserted after "55a".

In the Claims:

In claim 1, sixth paragraph, third line & claim 12, second paragraph, third line, --amplified

-- has been inserted prior to the respective occurrence of "RF field".

In claims 6, 7, the dependency from claim "5" has been changed to claim --1-- at each

occurrence.

In claim 8, fifth paragraph, first line, "coupled to" has ben changed to --from--.

In claim 12, second paragraph, first line, --respective-- has been inserted prior to "input

lead"; second paragraph, third line, "to" has been changed to --from--.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7; 8; 9, 10; 11, 12; 15 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Benny T. Lee